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Rural City of Wangaratta Live Stream

Clause 91(4) of the Governance and Meeting Conduct Local Law provides the following:

“This public meeting is being recorded to improve access to the meeting for our community. The recording will be published and will be retained by Council in accordance with Council’s legal obligations. As a visitor in the public gallery, your presence may be recorded.”

Please Stand For The Acknowledgement Of Traditional Owners And Opening Prayer

1. **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF TRADITIONAL OWNERS**

   We acknowledge the traditional owners of the land on which we are meeting. We pay our respects to their Elders and to Elders from other communities who may be here today.

2. **OPENING PRAYER**

   Almighty God, we humbly ask thee to bless and guide this council in its deliberations so that we may truly preserve the welfare of the people whom we serve. Amen

3. **PRESENT**

4. **ABSENT**

5. **ACCEPTANCE OF APOLOGIES & GRANTING OF LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

ORDER OF BUSINESS

6. **CITIZEN CEREMONY**

7. **CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES**

   **RECOMMENDATION:**

   *That Council read and confirm the Minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of 18 October 2016 and the Special Meeting of 10 November 2016 as a true and accurate record of the proceedings of the meetings.*
8. **CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE**

In accordance with sections 77A, 77B, 78 and 79 of the *Local Government Act 1989* Councillors are required to disclose a ‘conflict of interest’ in a decision if they would receive, or could reasonably be perceived as receiving, a direct or indirect financial or non-financial benefit or detriment (other than as a voter, resident or ratepayer) from the decision.

**Disclosure must occur immediately before the matter is considered or discussed.**

**CONGRATULATIONS**

The Rural City of Wangaratta would like to extend it’s appreciation to Mr Colin Reid for his contribution and involvement in the Milawa Hall and surrounding community.

9. **RECEPTION OF PETITIONS**

10. **HEARING OF DEPUTATIONS**

**PRESENTATION OF REPORTS**

11. **COUNCILLOR REPORTS**

Nil.

**OFFICERS’ REPORTS**

12. **EXECUTIVE SERVICES**

Nil.
13. CORPORATE SERVICES

13.1 AUDIT ADVISORY COMMITTEE APPOINTMENT

Meeting Type: Ordinary Council Meeting  
Date of Meeting: 22 November 2016  
Author: Executive Assistant Corporate Services  
File Name: COUNCIL’S COMMITTEES  
File No: 10.020.008

No Council officers or contractors who have provided advice in relation to this report have declared a conflict of interest regarding the matter under consideration.

Executive Summary

This report is presented to Council to provide the outcome of the Expressions of Interest and make recommendations for membership of Council’s Audit Advisory Committee.

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council:

1. appoints Richard Fenton-Smith as a representative on the Rural City of Wangaratta Audit Advisory Committee, for a three year appointment expiring in November 2019; and

2. advises the successful applicant of his appointment to the Audit Advisory Committee.

3. advises the unsuccessful applicant in writing.

Background

The Audit Advisory Committee (AAC) Charter states that the primary objective of the AAC is to assist Council in the effective conduct of its responsibilities for financial reporting, management of risk, maintaining a reliable system of internal controls and facilitating the organisation’s ethical development.

The Committee comprises a minimum of five members – two Councillors and three external independent persons. Appointments of external persons shall be made by Council by way of a public advertisement and be for a maximum term of three years. A vacancy now exists due to the end of an independent member’s three year term.

The attributes of an effective committee member as set out by the Audit Advisory Committee include:
• Shows good judgement and is balanced in their consideration of issues and takes a whole of entity perspective;
• Knows the business of the entity; understands the role of the committee and the expectations of Council; takes the time to understand changes that affect how the entity operates and its risks;
• Brings knowledge and expertise to bear in committee deliberations;
• Displays a constructive and positive attitude in dealings with other committee members, committee advisors and observers;
• Is a good communicator, builds effective networks and relationships while maintaining necessary confidences;
• Devotes sufficient time to committee business; and
• Displays independence of mind on committee deliberations and asks the ‘hard’ questions when necessary.

Implications

Policy Considerations

Advisory Committee Charters set out the terms and key dates for appointment on an annual basis.

Financial/Economic Implications

Advisory Committees provide a positive outcome for the sustainability of the local community.

Legal/Statutory

The appointment of Advisory Committees is provided for in the Local Government Act 1989.

Social

Advisory Committees provide the opportunity for 2-way engagement between Council and Community members.

Environmental/Sustainability Impacts

There are no environmental/ sustainability impacts identified for this subject of this report.


This report supports the Council Plan (revised 2015).
Goal

We are connected

We are sustainable

We will research and advocate:

On behalf of our groups and committees to ensure they have the resources and knowledge to do the things they need to do.

We will plan and make decision for the future:

Maintaining a responsible and transparent Long Term Financial Plan.

The non-negotiables:

We consult and engage effectively with the community and provide information that is clear, accessible and easy to understand about local decision making.

The best use of Council’s resources.

All legislative compliance requirements are met.

Strategic Links

a) Rural City of Wangaratta 2030 Community Vision

N/A

b) Other strategic links

N/A

Risk Management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risks</th>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>Consequence</th>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Mitigation Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The committee does not achieve its objectives</td>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>Insignificant</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Re-advertise membership</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Consultation/Communication

Expressions of Interest were publicly called to fill this position. Two expressions of interest were received, one from the retiring committee member, and one from a new nominee. Both candidates displayed excellent business acumen and possessed considerable financial backgrounds.

The new nominee was interviewed and the existing skillset of the retiring committee member were taken into consideration.
Options for Consideration

1. Council appoint Richard Fenton-Smith as recommended by officers as a new representative on the Rural City of Wangaratta Audit Advisory Committee for the term specified.

2. Council re-appoint the retiring member as a representative on the Rural City of Wangaratta Audit Advisory Committee for the term specified.

3. Council does not appoint either candidate as a representative on the Rural City of Wangaratta Audit Advisory Committee. This option will require re-advertisement of this vacancy.

Conclusion

Following consideration of the Expressions of Interest application for Council’s Audit Advisory Committee, the new appointment will assist the committee in continuing to provide Council with advice, feedback and guidance.

Attachments

1. Community Application 1 - AAC - Confidential
2. Community Application 2 - AAC - Confidential
13.2 APPOINTMENTS TO PORTFOLIOS AND COMMITTEES

Meeting Type: Ordinary Council Meeting  
Date of Meeting: 22 November 2016  
Author: Governance and Risk Advisor  
File Name: Special Meetings  
File No: 10.020.012

No Council officers or contractors who have provided advice in relation to this report have declared a conflict of interest regarding the matter under consideration.

Executive Summary

This report is presented to Council for consideration of appointments of Councillors to portfolios and committees.

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council:

1) Makes the following portfolio appointments:

   a) Councillor Benton to the Infrastructure & Emergency Services and Agriculture portfolio
   b) Councillor Rees to the Planning & Environment portfolio
   c) Councillor Currie to the Economic Development portfolio
   d) Councillor Amery to the Arts, Culture and Heritage portfolio
   e) Councillor Fuller to the Family, Youth, Sport & Recreation portfolio
   f) Councillor Bussell to the Tourism portfolio

2) Makes the following committee appointments:

   a) The holder of the Infrastructure & Emergency Services portfolio to the:
      i) Municipal Emergency Management Planning Committee
      ii) Municipal Fire Management Planning Committee
      iii) Timber Towns representative.
   b) The holder of the Agriculture portfolio to the Agriculture & Agribusiness Advisory Committee
   c) The holder of the Economic Development & Tourism portfolio to the Wangaratta Unlimited Advisory Committee
   d) The holder of the Arts, Culture and Heritage portfolio to the Arts, Culture and Heritage Advisory Committee
e) The holder of the Family, Youth, Sport & Recreation portfolio to the:
   i) Sport and Recreation Advisory Committee
   ii) Youth Council representative
   iii) Friends of Lacluta Special Committee
   iv) Place Naming Committee

f) Councillors Clarke & Councillor Currie to the Audit Advisory Committee

g) Councillor Fuller to the Wangaratta Festival of Jazz Incorporated Board.

3) Amends Committee charters where required to refer to the appropriate portfolio.

Background

The annual appointment of Councillors to committees and bodies was prescribed to occur at the meeting to elect the Mayor by the Governance and Meeting Conduct Local Law No. 2 of 2016. At that meeting Council resolved to defer the appointment to committees and bodies to a later meeting.

Council nominates or appoints representatives and delegates to Council advisory committees, Special Committees and other associated committees and bodies annually, or as needed (refer attachment). Participation is an essential part of a Councillor’s representative role.

A Councillor appointed as a representative to a committee will represent the position of Council, and report back to Council at an Ordinary Council Meeting on the issues or progress of the committee, and any recommendations made by the committee for Council to consider.

In allocating representative roles to councillors, consideration should be given to councillors’ areas of interest and priorities and a fair and reasonable sharing of workload and time demands.

Implications

Policy Considerations

Council advisory committee and special committee charters provide for the type, number and role of Councillor representatives.

A number of charters refer to the appointment of the holders of specific Councillor portfolios. A decision regarding the use and, if required, the allocation of portfolio appointments is required precedent to the allocation of committee appointments.
If portfolio appoints are to be used, the following suggestions for appropriate portfolios may be considered:

**Infrastructure & Emergency Services**

This portfolio contains the matters concerning infrastructure planning and deliver, field services, waste management and emergency services.

**Agriculture**

This portfolio represents the interest of the rural community in Council operations.

**Planning & Development**

This portfolio incorporates strategic and statutory planning and building services. Whilst there is no formal committee associated with this portfolio, the holder of this portfolio will be involved in strategic planning community consultation and planning mediation and consultation meetings.

**Economic Development & Tourism**

This portfolio focusses on economic opportunities to deliver growth and improvements in:
- Workforce development
- Tourism development
- Investment ready land and infrastructure
- Employment
- Business confidence and diversification.

**Arts, Culture & Heritage**

This portfolio supports the Council operations of the Performing Arts Centre, the Wangaratta Gallery, the Wangaratta Library, cultural and heritage pursuits and Council’s events program. The Wangaratta Festival of Jazz falls into this portfolio and it is recommended that one Councillor and one Council officer be appointed to this board to allow for better operational connection to the festival.

**Family, Youth, Sport and Recreation**

Council’s children’s services, aged and disability care, Youth Council, sports reserves and activities fall within this portfolio.

If portfolio appointments are not to be used, the motion appointing Councillor representatives should also vary the various committee charters.

**Financial/Economic Implications**

There are no financial or economic implications identified for the subject of this report.
Legal/Statutory
There are no legal/statutory implications identified for the subject of this report.

Social
There are no social impacts identified for the subject of this report.

Environmental/Sustainability Impacts
There are no environmental/ sustainability impacts identified for this subject of this report.

Conclusion
Should Council determine that the recommended portfolios are appropriate to the needs of this municipality, it should appoint Councillors to those portfolios. Appointments to Council Committees and Boards must also be made by Council, some of which will be a natural consequence of the portfolio appointments. Some adjustments to the charters of Council committees will be required to accommodate the portfolio names.

Attachments
1 Council and Other Committees and Bodies with Councillor Representation
14. **COMMUNITY WELLBEING**

14.1 WANGARATTA CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT - CCTV

**Meeting Type:** Ordinary Council Meeting  
**Date of Meeting:** 22 November 2016  
**Author:** Manager - Community and Recreation  
**File Name:** Community Safety and Crime Prevention

No Council officers or contractors who have provided advice in relation to this report have declared a conflict of interest regarding the matter under consideration.

**Executive Summary**

This report is presented to Council to consider a partnership with Victoria Police and a local security firm to install a Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) camera in Wangaratta’s Central Business District (CBD).

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That Council enter into a partnership with Victoria Police and a local security firm to install a temporary CCTV camera in the CBD for a trial period of twelve months in order to improve perceptions of community safety and assist in the prevention and investigation into crimes and antisocial behaviour.

**Background**

Council officers, in partnership with North-East Security and Victoria Police have identified an opportunity to undertake the trial installation of a CCTV camera for a period of twelve months in Wangaratta’s CBD as method of deterring crime and preventing anti-social behaviour.  

North-East Security currently has approximately 12 CCTV cameras installed in partnership with local business (whom have a contract with North-East Security) that cover public areas throughout the CBD. These cameras are installed and monitored at the cost of the businesses.

This trial is enabled by an offer from North-East Security to provide an all-weather security camera at no cost for the trial period (installation and monitoring included) for installation in the CBD.

Victoria Police are supportive of the trial program and will monitor the live stream throughout the night. North East Security are able to provide access to the live feed through a designated server.
Outcomes of the trial period will inform a potential funding application under the Department of Justice’s (DoJ) Public Safety Infrastructure Fund (PSIF) in 2017 for the wider installation of CCTV cameras within the CBD. Broader engagement with both CBD businesses and Victoria Police will help inform potential locations for additional CCTV cameras.

Timeline for installation and monitoring:
November 2016 – CCTV installation at an identified primary point within the CBD. Stakeholders involved: North-East Security, VicPol, Local business/building owner, Council.


June – August 2017 – benefit analysis and future project scoping.

October 2017 – funding application pursued for CCTV program under the Department of Justice’s Public Safety Infrastructure Fund.

Implications

Policy Considerations

Currently Council does not have a policy guiding the use and installation of CCTV within the municipality. With increased use of CCTV for both public and private security monitoring a defined policy would address the development and prioritisation of this infrastructure by Council.

Subject to the endorsement of this report, a policy will be developed over the next 12 months to regulate the use of CCTV material including: appropriate use, collection, retention, security, privacy, access, disclosure, storage, disposal, monitoring and evaluation. The policy will then inform an anticipated expansion through a successful funding application to the DoJ’s PSIF in 2017.

Financial/Economic Implications

There are no financial or economic implications identified for the subject of this report.

The expansion of CCTV throughout the CBD may have some financial implications for Council in the future.

Legal/Statutory

There are legal implications pertaining to the privacy of individuals identifiable in the CCTV footage. This risk will be mitigated in the first instance through the partnership with VicPol and a private security firm which will ensure that the trial CCTV project complies with the Surveillance Devices Act 1999 and the Information Privacy Act 2000.
Social

A coordinated public engagement program would be necessary throughout the trial period to gauge public views regarding a wider installation of CCTV in the CBD and address privacy concerns. Additional targeted engagement would be undertaken with CBD businesses.

Environmental/Sustainability Impacts

There are no environmental/ sustainability impacts identified for this subject of this report.


This report supports the 2013-2017 Council Plan:

Goal

We are Healthy

We will research and advocate:

in partnership with the right people to make sure that everyone feels safe in their homes, in their streets and in their communities.

We will plan and make decisions for the future:

that ensure we are responding to the current and long-term recreational needs of our community and creating sustainable and quality recreation reserves throughout our municipality.

that encourage growth in Wangaratta’s CBD and establish it as a regional retail centre.

Risk Management

A detailed risk management policy will be entered into with the partners of this project.

Consultation/Communication

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of participation</th>
<th>public promises to public/stakeholders</th>
<th>Tools/Techniques</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inform</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consult</td>
<td>Public, Businesses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Involve</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaborate</td>
<td>Victoria Police, local security firms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empower</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Officers believe that appropriate consultation has occurred and the matter is now ready for Council consideration.
Conclusion

This report is presented to Council to consider a partnership with Victoria Police and a local security firm to install a Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) camera in Wangaratta’s Central Business District.

Attachments
Nil.

15. INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES

Nil
16. DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

16.1 PARKING IN THE CBD OVER THE CHRISTMAS/NEW YEAR PERIOD

Meeting Type: Ordinary Council Meeting  
Date of Meeting: 22 November 2016  
Author: Executive Assistant Development Services  
File Name: Parking Enforcement  
File No: 58.050.004

No Council officers or contractors who have provided advice in relation to this report have declared a conflict of interest regarding the matter under consideration.

Executive Summary

This report is presented to Council to consider free parking in the Central Business District (CBD) over the Christmas & New-Year period from Thursday 15 December 2016 – Wednesday 4 January 2017 inclusive.

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council:

1. provides free parking from Thursday 15 December 2016 to Wednesday 4 January 2017 inclusive, within the CBD area; and

2. informs the community of the free parking through appropriate media channels, noting that time limits will still apply.

Background

In previous years Council has offered free parking in the CBD over the Christmas New-Year period as an incentive to encourage locals and visitors to shop and spend time in the Wangaratta CBD. This aligns with the CBD Christmas Carnival taking place on Thursday 15 December 2016 from 5pm – 8pm this year.

Implications

Financial/Economic Implications

While there is limited data to indicate exact revenue loss as a result of offering free parking over this period, it is estimated that approximately $5,000 - $7,000 in income will be lost although staff costs are also reduced during the Christmas/New Year period due to less need to check and collect from the machines. The impact on expected income has already been factored into the Council budget.
Free parking is offered to encourage shoppers to shop locally in the CBD and therefore boost income for local shops and business over this period.

**Legal/Statutory**

Whilst the payment for parking will not be required during this period the time limit restrictions as indicated on the relevant signage are required to be adhered to. Failure to abide by these time restrictions can still result in infringements being issued.

**Social**

By offering free parking over this festive period, Council is spreading ‘Christmas cheer’ and also supporting the Christmas Carnival for local shops and businesses in the CBD.

**Environmental/Sustainability Impacts**

There are no environmental/sustainability impacts identified for this subject of this report.


This report supports the 2013-2017 Council Plan:

**We will plan and make decisions for the future:**

that encourage growth in Wangaratta’s CBD and establish it as a regional retail centre.

**Strategic Links**

**a) Rural City of Wangaratta 2030 Community Vision**

‘Wangaratta's central business district is a thriving, vibrant retail centre, offering a diversity of choice.’

‘Local businesses of all size and nature continue to play a key role in the development and wellbeing of the broader community through linkages to volunteering and by supporting community initiatives.’

**Risk Management**

There are no risks identified with this proposal.

**Consultation/Communication**

Given that free parking has been previously offered over the Christmas/New Year period there is an expectation in the community that this will be provided again.
Should Council support this initiative, Officers will communicate with the owner/operators of the multi-storey car-park (Co-Store) as they may wish to offer same.

Notice of Council’s decision will be publicly advertised and communicated through all available forms.

**Options for Consideration**

1. Support free parking in the CBD area over the Christmas/New Year period (recommended).

2. Not support free parking in the CBD over the Christmas/New Year period.

**Conclusion**

Offering free parking in the CBD over this period aligns with economic development and retail growth goals in Council’s corporate documents and supports the ‘Shop Local’ and ‘Small Business Wangaratta’ campaigns.

**Attachments**

Nil
16.2 TOURISM SPECIAL EVENTS PROGRAM - VISITOR ATTRACTION

Meeting Type: Ordinary Council Meeting
Date of Meeting: 22 November 2016
Author: Economic Development Coordinator
File Name: Regional Economic Development
File No: 25.040.032

No Council officers or contractors who have provided advice in relation to this report have declared a conflict of interest regarding the matter under consideration.

Executive Summary

This report is presented to Council to provide a summary of the 2016/2017 Tourism Visitor Attraction Funding Program, Round 1 submissions received and assist Council in making a decision on the allocation of funds based on the eligibility criteria.

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council endorses the provision of Tourism Visitor Attraction Funding Program grant as part of Round 1, to the Early Holden Car Club – Wangaratta for $2,000 for “All GM Show and Shine Event”

Background

The objective of the Tourism Visitor Attraction Funding program is to:
1. Maximise the economic and community benefits
2. Provide significant branding and marketing opportunities for the region
3. Build the profile of the events to attract visitors from outside the region: and
4. Promote the tourism product strengths of the Rural City of Wangaratta.

Funding is competitive and submissions are assessed on several criteria including: experience of organisers, consistency with regional priorities and overall economic benefit to the region. The economic benefit is determined by factors which include; estimated number of participants, visitors attracted from outside the region, number of days the visitors will stay in the region. The dollar value benefit to the region is calculated using a program called RemPLan.

Implications

Policy Considerations

The funding program is in line with the current Economic Development & Tourism Strategy. The Strategy identifies the importance of the development and delivery of local events which attract visitors from outside the region. These small grants play an important role in developing a broader range of products and events to attract visitors to the region.
Financial/Economic Implications

Council has an allocation of $7,000 to fund the program in 2016/2017. This round of submissions has resulted in a total of two submission being received. A submission from the Early Holden Car Club Wangaratta has been received and the recommendation is to support this event with an amount of $2,000 is being suggested. The direct economic benefit to the region for this event has been calculated at $284,000. A second submission delivering a regional benefit of around $20,000 is not being recommended for support as it is an event with very low participation numbers, with the majority of attendees expected to be local.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2016/2017 Approved Budget for this proposal $</th>
<th>This Proposal $</th>
<th>Variance to Approved Budget $</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenue/Income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expense</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,000 held in reserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Result</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legal/Statutory

There are no legal/statutory implications identified for the subject of this report.

Social

Events have the capacity to increase the level of interest and participation within the community and strengthen regional values. Increased local pride and community spirit, increased numbers of visitors to the region, as well as an increased awareness of non-local perceptions are outcomes of the events supported.

Environmental/Sustainability Impacts

This will depend on the individual submission/project that are supported.


This report supports the 2013-2017 Council Plan:

Goal

We are Growing
We will research and advocate:

To ensure we prosper from the economic benefits of nature based and recreational attractions.

We will create and deliver:

Tourism products and experiences that attract visitors.

Exceptional services and programs that help our families and children to be healthy, happy and connected.

We will plan and make decisions for the future:

That ensure we are responding to the current and long term recreational needs of our community.

That set the direction for the delivery of cultural services, facilities and programs by developing a Cultural Services plan that is exciting and unique for our community.

We will focus on our business:

By making sure that all out teams work together to plan, develop and deliver innovative recreation projects.

The non-negotiables

We have a vibrant tourism industry.

A range of events and cultural programs offered throughout the municipality that is exciting, enjoyable and inclusive.

Strategic Links

a) Rural City of Wangaratta 2030 Community Vision

N/A

b) Other strategic links

N/A

Consultation/Communication

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of participation</th>
<th>public</th>
<th>Promises to the public/stakeholders</th>
<th>Tools/Techniques</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inform</td>
<td></td>
<td>Provide an event finding program</td>
<td>The Chronicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Industry Newsletter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Social Media</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Options for Consideration

1. Endorse the Officer’s Recommendations
2. Endorse the Officer’s Recommendations with amendments
3. Do not endorse the Officer’s Recommendations

### Conclusion

This program’s funding assistance will provide the successful applicants with financial support to assist the development and growth of the event to attract visitors to the region. These small capital investments are considered vital in developing an increased and more diverse range of products and events to attract visitor to the region. The economic benefit to the region of such events is considered significant.

### Attachments

1. 2016/2017 Round 1 Visitor Attraction

---

**Level of public participation** | **Promises to the public/stakeholders** | **Tools/Techniques**
--- | --- | ---
Empower | Provide support to event organisers | Mentoring in completion and submission of applications
Executive Summary

This report is presented to Council to:

- Consider the 16 submissions (including seven late submissions), received in response to Wangaratta Planning Scheme Amendment C66 - Glenrowan Township Development Plan.

- Seek Council’s agreement to make changes to the exhibited amendment for the purpose of addressing submissions to the amendment.

- Seek Council’s agreement to split the amendment into two parts (to assist the consideration of submissions) as follows;

  - **C66 Part 1** - all exhibited changes, which affect the local planning policy framework, general provisions, zone maps and bushfire management overlay maps, **excluding** the rezoning identified on exhibited "Zone Map 32 and 33" (see Attachment 1).

  - **C66 Part 2** - only exhibited zone changes identified on exhibited "Zone Map 32 and 33" (see Attachment 1).

- Seek Council’s agreement to adopt **C66 Part 1** (subject to changes) and submit the adopted documents to the Minister for Planning, for approval.

- Seek Council’s agreement to re-exhibit **C66 Part 2** in order to address two outstanding submissions and negate the need for a planning panel; the re-exhibition will affect land in Precincts H(a) and H(b) of the Glenrowan Township Development Plan (TDP) (see Attachment 2), which is in single ownership. The content of Part 2 has been negotiated with the submitter/landowner and requires re-exhibition (at the direction of Department of Environment Land, Water and Planning).
The changes to the exhibited controls are:

1. Proposed rezoning of land in Precinct H (a) from Rural Living Zone 1 and 2 to entirely Rural Living Zone 1 and Public Use Zone 1.

2. The application of a Development Plan Overlay to Precinct H (a) and (b).

Amendment C66 implements the immediate, short term and ongoing recommendations of the Glenrowan TDP and Glenrowan Bushfire Assessment. It does this by introducing new local policy, rezoning land and applying the Bushfire Management Overlay.

The amendment was exhibited between 25 August and 26 September, 2016. In response to the exhibition process 16 submissions were received (including a very late submission received 27 October 2016). Of the submissions, 10 support the amendment, five have no position. A number of these submissions also suggest changes to the exhibited documents. A summary of submissions and an outline of proposed changes are contained in Attachments 3 and 4.

Officers are supportive of minor changes to the exhibited documents. More substantial changes as described in C66 Part 2 should be re-exhibited.

To progress the amendment it is recommended Council split the amendment and adopt C66 Part 1 and submit the adopted documents to the Minister for Planning for approval and re-exhibit C66 Part 2, and consider any further submissions following its re-exhibition.

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council:

1. Considers all submission to Wangaratta Planning Scheme Amendment C66 – Glenrowan Township, pursuant to Section 22 (1) of the Planning and Environment Act 1987 (the Act);

2. makes minor changes to the adopted Glenrowan Township Development Plan as outlined in Attachments 2 and 3;

3. makes minor changes to exhibited C66 documentation outlined in Attachments 2 and 3, to address submissions to Amendment C66;

4. splits the amendment into two parts as follows:

   a) Amendment C66 Part 1 - Inclusive of all exhibited changes to the Wangaratta Planning Scheme except: the exhibited zone change illustrated on "Zone Map 32 and 33" (as shown in Attachment 1);

   b) Amendment C66 Part 2 - Only the following exhibited change to the Wangaratta Planning Scheme: the zone change to land identified on "Zone Maps 32 and 33" (as shown in Attachment 1);
5. adopts C66 Part 1 (with changes) pursuant to Section 29 of the Act, and forwards C66 Part 1 as adopted, to the Minister for Planning requesting approval, pursuant to Section 31 of the Act

6. re-exhibits C66 Part 2 as follows:
   a) Rezone land identified on Zone Map 32 and 33 (which is consistent with privately owned land contained in Precinct H (a) of the Glenrowan Township Development Plan) from Farming Zone and Rural Living Zone 2 to Rural Living Zone 1 and publicly owned land Public Use Zone 2.
   b) Apply the Development Plan Overlay to Precinct H (a) and (b) of the Glenrowan Township Development Plan.

7. advises all submitters to C66 accordingly.

Background


Glenrowan TDP, 2015:
The Glenrowan TDP was developed in response to recommendations of Council’s Population and Housing Strategy, 2013. The Glenrowan TDP pro-actively addresses projected population growth, and manages development pressure. Such development pressure is expected to result from an upgrade to the sewerage network. Recommendations of the Glenrowan TDP inform changes to local policy and rezoning. The Glenrowan TDP was adopted by Council in April, 2015.

Glenrowan Bushfire Assessment, 2014:
The Glenrowan Bushfire Assessment was prepared to assess bushfire risk in the Glenrowan Township, to inform the development of the Glenrowan TDP. The Glenrowan Bushfire Assessment examines credible consequences of a bushfire and the likelihood of those consequences being realised. Recommendations of this study inform the application of the Bushfire Management Overlay (BMO). The BMO triggers a planning permit for buildings and works associated with uses that increase the risk to life of bushfire.

Amendment C66 – Public Exhibition Process:
Authorisation by DELWP to exhibit the amendment occurred on 22 July 2016. Exhibition of Amendment C66 occurred from 25 August - 26 September, 2016.

The public exhibition process occurred in accordance with the requirements of the Planning and Environment Act, 1987.
Notices were posted to prescribed Ministers, government departments, statutory authorities, land owners and occupiers affected by Amendment C66. Owners and occupiers were further provided with a Frequently Asked Questions Information Sheet, a Submission form and notice of an Information 'Drop-In' Session, held during the exhibition period.

A public notice about Amendment C66 was placed in the Wangaratta Chronicle, Friday 19 August, 2016 and in the Victorian Government Gazette, Thursday 25 August, 2016.

An information Drop-In session was held in Glenrowan, on Thursday 8 September, 2016, between 3.30pm and 6.00pm. This gave land owners the opportunity to ask planning officers questions about the amendment, in a one-on-one forum. Approximately 30-35 people attended this session and asked questions about Amendment C66.

Consideration of submissions:
The following diagram outlines the steps in the amendment process. The blue boxes identify steps undertaken and the orange boxes are steps required to be completed to finalise the amendment.

- It is noted that if all submissions are resolved, Council can proceed directly to adoption, and submission of the amendment for approval, without the need for a Panel hearing.

Submissions to Amendment C66:
Following the exhibition period 16 submissions were received. Of the submissions:
- Ten (10) supported/or did not object to the amendment
- One (1) objected to the amendment
- Five (5) had no position stated

The majority of the submitters were authorities and government departments (14 submissions) and two were received from land owners.

Matters raised in the submissions can be categorised as follows:
- Reviewing the amendment documents and providing support for the work Council has undertaken
- Requesting changes to the Glenrowan TDP (background document and maps)
- Requesting changes to exhibited C66 Amendment documents
- Heritage matters
- Identifying matters that have an impact on future development (inclusive of vegetation retention and infrastructure requirements)

Pursuant to section 23(1) of the Act, when considering a submission that requests a change to an amendment, Council must:

(a) Change the amendment in the manner requested; or
(b) Refer the submission to a Panel appointed under Part of the Act; or
(c) Abandon the amendment or part of the amendment.

Recommended Changes:
Glenrowan Township Development Plan: It is recommended that the Glenrowan TDP (background documents and map) be updated to include changes raised in submissions and Council adopt the Glenrowan TDP, with changes.

Changes proposed address administrative mapping errors and provide further explanation about outside influences. Examples of changes requested include:
- Mapping - correcting errors in the map keys
- Glenrowan TDP - adding further information about State heritage regulations that apply to some parts of Glenrowan.

All proposed changes are detailed in Attachment 4.

Amendment C66 Documents: It is recommended the exhibited Amendment C66 documents are updated to address submissions. Requests in submissions are seeking changes of an administrative nature. An example of a change requested includes:
- Mapping - The Precinct Plan contained in Clause 22.03 be updated to realign the boundary so that it is consistent with the area of significance identified by the Victorian Heritage Register and the National Heritage List.

All details outlining the proposed changes are contained in Attachment 4.

Outstanding Matters:
One submission requests significant changes to the exhibited amendment. It proposes a change to the zone controls applied to land identified in Precinct H (a) of the Glenrowan TDP. Precinct H (a) is identified in Attachment 2. The submission requests all land identified in Precinct H (a) is rezoned to Rural Living Zone 1 (RLZ1).

The Wangaratta Planning Scheme identifies land in Precinct H (a) as currently zoned Farming Zone (FZ) and Rural Living Zone Schedule 2 (RLZ2).

The exhibited documents propose a rezoning to a combination of Rural Living Zone Schedules 1 and 2. It is noted that the minimum lot size for land in the RLZ1 is 2 hectares; and RLZ2 is 8 hectares.

The combination of RLZ1 and 2, was originally applied in favour of rezoning the whole of Precinct H (a) to RLZ1 with the application of a Development Plan.
Overlay (DPO) as suggested in the adopted Glenrowan TDP. The TDP approach would add an additional layer of approval in the planning permit process.

It is noted that a DPO excludes third party appeal rights (for example if a permit application is generally in accordance with an approved Development Plan there is no opportunity to object to a planning permit application). Any Development Plan needs to be approved by Council.

Advantages of a DPO include providing Council the opportunity to identify site constraints upfront, prior to a detailed planning permit application being considered. Submissions received from authorities have identified development and infrastructure considerations. A DPO can include such considerations, and is now considered to be a pro-active way to plan for future development. The alternative way to address these submissions, would be to grant a conditional planning permit. A DPO provides assurance these conditions would not be lost between an amendment being approved and a planning permit being issued.

Given some submissions provide important information about development constraints upfront (e.g. high pressure gas lines), it gives Council the opportunity to review the planning tools used to implement the recommendations of the Glenrowan TDP. On review, the DPO is now considered the best tool to identify site constraints and specify minimum lot sizes (see Attachment 4). A re-exhibition will ensure land owners and the community have an opportunity to comment on the contents of the DPO prior to its implementation.

A change to the exhibited zoning and application of a new Development Plan Overlay are considered a transformation of the amendment. Therefore, DELWP has advised that re-exhibition of this component of C66 is required.

A split of Amendment C66 as follows is recommended to consider the matter separately:
- The local policy and bushfire mapping aspects of the amendment to be progressed. This will provide benefit in decision making for planning permit applications.
- It provides the opportunity to re-consider the controls and identify development considerations raised by planning authorities in their submissions to Amendment C66. All submissions to be resolved without the need to proceed to a Planning Panel.

On balance, following the exhibition of Amendment C66, splitting the amendment and re-exhibiting new controls (in response to submissions) is considered the clearest pathway forward, and the best way to address submissions to the amendment. The alternative approach is to not make the requested changes and proceed to an independent planning Panel. The disadvantages of this approach are the cost to Council of a Panel hearing, and the possibility a Panel may seek re-exhibition to address the submissions anyway.

Further Work:
The implementation of the Glenrowan Township Development Plan is staged. The remainder of the implementation of this report is to be carried out in the
medium - long term (medium term projects are expected to commence in 5-10 long term projects are expected to commence in 10-20 years).

Implications

Policy Considerations


Financial Implications

This amendment is funded by Council under the Strategic Planning 2016-2017 operations budget. Costs directly attributed to the amendment are the responsibility of Council. The main costs associated with this amendment, going forward are identified as:

- Running a Planning Panel, which may include expert input from Mesh Planning in the capacity of an expert witness. To provide an indicative cost estimate, a Panel Hearing running 2 days and heard by 1 Panel Member may cost around $8-10,000 (expert witnesses are an additional cost).

- Statutory Fees associated with processing a planning scheme amendment (a cost of approximately $450.00).

Legal/Statutory

All procedures associated with this amendment comply with the legislative requirements of the Planning and Environment Act 1987.

Social

The Glenrowan TDP, strategic basis for the amendment, supports Councils Community Vision and the Glenrowan Community Plan. Further the amendment has been developed to respond to development pressure created by the implementation of reticulated sewer infrastructure. Clear policy direction is considered to provide community benefit.

Environmental/Sustainability Impacts

The amendment considers environmental constraints and recommended urban expansion areas, based on precincts. It balances environmental risks and encourages development to respond to significant native vegetation by making changes to the Local Planning Policy Framework.


This report supports the 2013-2017 Council Plan:
Goal

We are Growing
We are Connected
We are Sustainable

We will create and deliver:

open spaces throughout our municipality that allow everyone to enjoy our beautiful environment and location

enhanced urban areas with vegetation corridors for environmental, recreational and aesthetic benefit to the Community.

We will plan and make decisions for the future:

by updating the Planning Scheme and the Municipal Strategic Statement by developing new and reviewing existing strategies, including Rural Land Use.

by identifying future development areas and giving consideration to potential planning scheme amendments and development contributions.

Strategic Links

a) Rural City of Wangaratta 2030 Community Vision

b) Other strategic links

Population and Housing Strategy, 2013 (RCOW)

Risk Management

There are some risks associated with splitting the amendment, as recommended in this report. The main risk is that the re-exhibited part of the amendment attracts further submissions, which cannot be accommodated and trigger a Panel anyway. The alternative is to not support the objecting submission and proceed directly to a Panel regardless. This sets up an adversarial relationship with the submitter and the Panel may direct Council to re-exhibit the changes anyway.

Consultation/Communication

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of participation</th>
<th>public</th>
<th>Promises to public/stakeholders</th>
<th>the Tools/Techniques</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inform</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>to obtain public feedback on analysis, alternatives and/or decisions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consult</td>
<td></td>
<td>We will keep you informed, listen to and acknowledge concerns and aspirations, and provide feedback on how public input influenced the decision. We will seek your feedback on drafts and proposals.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Level of public participation | public to public/stakeholders | Tools/Techniques
--- | --- | ---
Involve | We will work with you to ensure that your concerns and aspirations are directly reflected in the alternatives developed and provide feedback on how public input influenced the decision | To work directly with the public throughout the process to ensure that public concerns and aspirations are consistently understood and considered.

Options for Consideration

Recommended - Consider submissions, make minor changes to the Glenrowan TDP, make minor changes to the amendment documents (to the Local Planning Policy Framework), split the amendment into two parts;

- Adopt C66 Part 1: which includes all exhibited changes except the exhibited zone change illustrated on "Zone Map 32 and 33" (shown in Attachment 1) and submit adopted changes to the Minister for Planning for approval

- Re-exhibit C66 Part 2: which applies to the zone change illustrated on "Zone Map 32 and 33" (shown in Attachment 1). The re-exhibition will include rezoning Precinct H (a) to Rural Living Zone 1 and applying the Development Plan Overlay to Precinct H (a) and (b).

This is the recommended course of action. It considers all submissions and makes changes as requested and required as required by the Act, and will achieve the best planning outcome.

Not Recommended - Consider submissions, do not make any changes to the amendment documents and request an independent Planning Panel be convened to consider any outstanding matters raised in submission to the amendment. This is not recommended as Officers have proposed a number of changes to the amendment to address concerns raised. There is a risk that a Panel could request part of the amendment to be re-exhibited following a hearing.

Not Recommended - Consider submissions and adopt the amendment without change and submit the amendment to the Minister for Planning for approval. This is not recommended as it fails to consider matters raised in submissions to the amendment, and in this circumstance the amendment would not be approved by the Minister for Planning.

Not Recommended - Abandon the amendment. This option fails to implement the recommendations of the Glenrowan TDP and Glenrowan Bushfire Assessment.
Conclusion

Amendment C66 has been through the exhibition process in accordance with the requirements of the *Planning and Environment Act 1987*.

Submissions have been considered and addressed. To progress this amendment it is recommended to make changes to the exhibited documents, split the amendment into 2 parts and;
- Adopt Amendment C66 Part 1 with changes, and submit it to the Minister for Planning
- Re-exhibit Amendment C66 Part 2 and consider any further submissions following this process.

Attachments

1. Zone Changes - Planning Scheme Maps 32_33
2. Glenrowan Township Development Plan - Precincts
3. Submission Summary
4. Recommended Changes
5. Draft DPO

17. **SPECIAL COMMITTEE REPORTS**

Nil
18. **ADVISORY COMMITTEE REPORTS**

18.1 **ADVISORY COMMITTEE REPORTS**

Meeting Type: Ordinary Council Meeting  
Date of Meeting: 22 November 2016  
Author: Executive Assistant Corporate Services  
File Name: N/A  
File No: 10.020.002

No Council officers or contractors who have provided advice in relation to this report have declared a conflict of interest regarding the matter under consideration.

**Executive Summary**

Minutes of the following Advisory Committee Meetings are reported to Administrators for information (refer attachments).

1. Arts, Culture and Heritage Advisory Committee

**RECOMMENDATION:**

*That Council notes the minutes of this meeting.*

**Attachments**

1. Arts, Culture and Heritage Committee Minutes - 12 September 2016
19. RECORDS OF ASSEMBLIES OF COUNCILLORS

19.1 RECORDS OF ASSEMBLIES OF ADMINISTRATORS

Meeting Type: Ordinary Council Meeting
Date of Meeting: 22 November 2016
Author: Executive Assistant Corporate Services
File Name: Records of Assemblies of Administrators
File No: 10.020.020

Executive Summary

An “Assembly of Administrators” is a meeting at which matters are considered that are intended or likely to be the subject of a Council decision and is either of the following:
- a meeting of an advisory committee where at least one Administrator is present; or
- a planned or scheduled meeting that includes at least half the Administrators and at least one Council officer.

At an assembly of Administrators, a written record is kept of:
- the names of all Administrators and members of the Council staff attending;
- the matters considered;
- any conflict of interest disclosures made by an Administrator attending; and
- whether an Administrator who has disclosed a conflict of interest leaves the assembly.

The written record of an assembly of Administrators is, as soon as practicable:
- reported at an Ordinary Meeting of the Council; and
- incorporated in the Minutes of that Council meeting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Meeting details</th>
<th>Refer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18 October 2016</td>
<td>Pre-Council Briefing</td>
<td>Attachment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council receives the reports of Assemblies of Administrators.

Attachments
1 Assembly of Administrators - 18 October 2016
19.2 RECORDS OF ASSEMBLY OF COUNCILLORS

Meeting Type: Ordinary Council Meeting
Date of Meeting: 22 November 2016
Author: Executive Assistant Corporate Services
File Name: Records of Assembly of Councillors
File No: 10.020.1001

Executive Summary

An “Assembly of Councillors” is a meeting at which matters are considered that are intended or likely to be the subject of a Council decision and is either of the following:

- a meeting of an advisory committee where at least one Councillor is present; or
- a planned or scheduled meeting that includes at least half the Councillors and at least one Council officer.

At an assembly of Councillors, a written record is kept of:

a) the names of all Councillors and members of the Council staff attending;
b) the matters considered;
c) any conflict of interest disclosures made by a Councillor attending; and
d) whether a Councillor who has disclosed a conflict of interest leaves the assembly.

The written record of an assembly of Councillors is, as soon as practicable:

a) reported at an Ordinary Meeting of the Council; and
b) incorporated in the Minutes of that Council meeting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Meeting details</th>
<th>Refer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14 November 2016</td>
<td>Assembly of Councillors</td>
<td>Attachment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RECOMMENDATION:

*That Council receives the reports of Assemblies of Councillors.*

Attachments

1 Assembly of Councillors - 14 November 2016
20. **NOTICES OF MOTION**

20.1 **Councillor Ruth Amery**

Councillor Ruth Amery has given notice of her intention to move the following motion:


2. That to enable these reviews Council immediately write to the Minister for Planning requesting that he decline to approve C69 pursuant to Section 35 of the Planning and Environment Act 1987 for the following reasons;

   a) That proposed re-zonings of land and proposed amendments to clause 22.01 of the Local Planning Policies were not supported by the independent Planning Panel. The Panel had concluded that planning policy and provisions seeking to implement recommendations for the 2015 Rural Strategy are not well founded and have insufficient strategic justification. Notwithstanding the Panel's recommendations, that those proposed amendments should not be adopted, the Council proceeded to adopt them.

   b) That in the C69 amendment process council refused to have any public open discussions, or display submissions on its website, therefore inhibiting an open and comprehensive consultations process

   c) That following closure of the time for submissions, Council failed to meet with any of those who lodged submissions, depriving submitters and Council of an opportunity to resolve all, or some for the issues

   d) That in the consultation process on the Land Strategy 2015 Council refused to have any public open discussions or display submissions on its website

   e) That Councils consultation process in the Land Strategy 2015 was inadequate. This was supported by the panel which concluded;

"in the light of the substantial changes to the previous strategy, the panel considers that it would have been appropriate for Council to undertake a more comprehensive consultation process in its development of the 2015 Rural Strategy".

   f) That the proposed changes to Local Planning policy clause 22.01 are inconsistent with the provisions of clause 35.07 of the Farming Zone.

   g) The panel found many shortcomings in the Councils position and Council now needs to consider these fully and review its position.
21. URGENT BUSINESS

22. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

23. CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS
   Nil

24. CLOSURE OF MEETING

ATTACHMENTS
### Council and Other Committees and Bodies with Councillor Representation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee or Body</th>
<th>Objectives</th>
<th>Councillor Representation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Advisory Committees of Council</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture and Agribusiness Advisory Committee</td>
<td>1. Ensure that the Council is kept informed of:   * opportunities for the establishment and/or development of agriculture and agribusiness   * risks to the operation or future of agriculture / agribusiness.   2. Provide input into planning and policy development relating to agriculture and agribusiness.   3. Advise on agricultural land and water resource issues.   4. Provide all sectors of the agricultural community within the Rural City of Wangaratta with the opportunity of input into the directions and issues considered by Council to do with agriculture and agribusiness.</td>
<td>Councillor holding the Economic Development portfolio (Chairperson) Meetings held bi-monthly on the first Monday of the month commencing at 5:00pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts, Culture and Heritage Advisory Committee</td>
<td>1. Provide advice to Council on arts, cultural and heritage issues that impact upon the community.  2. Promote arts, cultural and heritage, opportunities within the community through networks.   3. Advise on the development of, and oversee implementation of a Cultural Plan within the Rural City of Wangaratta.   4. Encourage and facilitate joint initiatives between, local business and industry, educational institutions, Council and community groups and other relevant stakeholders within the Rural City of Wangaratta.   5. Ensure all sectors of the community within the Rural City of Wangaratta have the opportunity to provide input into the directions and issues considered by the Committee.</td>
<td>Councillor holding the Arts, Culture and Heritage portfolio (Chairperson); Meetings held bi-monthly on the first Monday of the month commencing 5:30pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit Advisory Committee</td>
<td>1. Assist Council in the effective conduct of its responsibilities for financial reporting, management of risk, maintaining a reliable system of internal controls and facilitating the organisation's ethical development;   2. Advise Council on how best to fulfil its responsibilities and facilitate decision making by providing a forum for improving communication between Council, senior management, finance, risk and compliance managers, internal auditors and external auditors with regard to:   * internal and external reporting – financial and performance;   * risk management;   * internal and external audit, internal control framework;   * corporate governance and ethics; and   * compliance with the Act.</td>
<td>Two councillors Note: Chairperson must be an independent member Meetings held quarterly on a Monday commencing 2:45pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committee or Body</td>
<td>Objectives</td>
<td>Councillor Representation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Place Naming Committee                                | 1. Provide advice and recommendation relating to the implementation of Council’s policies for naming places, roads, streets and other accessways and related work associated with commemorative plaques and other markers.  
2. Administer the application of the following Council policies:  
   • Place Naming Policy  
   • Policy for Naming Roads Streets and Other Accessways  
   • Commemorative Plaques and Other Markers                                                                  | Councillor holding the Infrastructure and Planning portfolio (chair)  
Meetings held quarterly on the third Wednesday of the month commencing at 2:00pm |
| Sport and Recreation Advisory Committee               | 1. Advise Council on the effective conduct of its responsibilities for strategic planning and management of Sport and Recreation opportunities in the Rural City of Wangaratta, providing support and advice.  
2. Provide advice to Council on strategic sport and recreation issues that impact upon the community.  
3. Provide information on how sport and recreation opportunities within the community may be promoted.  
4. Provide advice in the monitoring and review the Rural City of Wangaratta Sport and Recreation Plan and associated strategies.  
5. Encourage and facilitate communication and initiatives between sport and recreation user groups/clubs/individuals/stakeholders throughout the Rural City of Wangaratta.  
6. Ensure all sectors of the community within the Rural City of Wangaratta have the opportunity to provide input into the directions and issues considered by the Committee.  
7. Be proactive in initiating and/or supporting „cross municipal“ initiatives and projects.  
8. Broaden community access to sport and recreation through the attraction of significant events. | Councillor holding the Sport and Recreation portfolio (Chairperson)  
Meetings held bi-monthly commencing at 4:00pm |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee or Body</th>
<th>Objectives</th>
<th>Councillor Representation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Wangaratta Unlimited Board (Advisory Committee) | 1. Advise on the promotion, enhancement and generation of sustainable economic development and tourism within the Rural City of Wangaratta.  
2. Advise on the development and maintenance of viable economic development and tourism strategies and associated performance measures for the Rural City of Wangaratta.  
3. Advise on the promotion, facilitation and coordination of opportunities for economic development and associated employment growth in line with the Rural City of Wangaratta’s economic development and tourism strategies and Council Plan objectives. In particular to assess the suitability of proposed business development and industry attraction initiatives identified in the Economic Development Strategy and the Tourism Strategy.  
4. Ensure all sectors of the community within the Rural City of Wangaratta have the opportunity to provide input into the directions and issues considered by the Committee. | Two Councillors  
Council has resolved that the Board shall consist of:  
• the Mayor of the day;  
• Councillor holding the Economic Development and Tourism Portfolio;  
Meetings held on the first Tuesday monthly commencing at 5:30pm |
| Youth Council                     | 1. Provide quality and timely advice to Council on issues related to or of concern to young people.  
2. Provide an opportunity for young people to become involved in and contribute to the wellbeing of local young people and the wider community.  
3. Promote and encourage the involvement of youth in the planning, development and implementation of activities associated directly or indirectly with young people.  
4. Provide an opportunity for young people to gain an understanding of the issues surrounding local government and its role in the community.  
5. Foster community spirit and responsibility amongst young people.  
6. Ensure all sectors of the community within the Rural City of Wangaratta have the opportunity to provide input into the directions and issues considered by the Committee.  
7. Develop individual leadership skills including organisational, communication and personal skills among young people from which agreed participation outcomes can be achieved. | One Rural City of Wangaratta Councillor holding the Community Wellbeing portfolio (non-voting position)  
Meetings held monthly with no set day in the Council Chambers, Wangaratta Government Centre commencing at 5:00pm |
### Special Committees of Council

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee or Body</th>
<th>Objectives</th>
<th>Councillor Representation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Friends of Lacluta Special Committee | 1. Provide advice to Council about the development of the Friendship Agreement that contributes to the rebuilding of Timor-Leste.  
2. Promote community initiatives that strengthens the communities of Lacluta. These initiatives should aim to build capacity and advance the self-sufficiency of the people of Lacluta, and should be consistent with the principles of environmental, social and cultural sustainability.  
3. Provide a framework that members of the Wangaratta community can achieve goals identified from time-to-time that may include (but not limited to):  
  - agriculture  
  - fundraising  
  - youth and education  
  - sustainable tourism  
  - health  
  - infrastructure  
  - environment  
  - women and development  
  - training  
  - exchange visits  
  - sponsorship | One Councillor or as otherwise appointed by Council  
Meetings held quarterly on the fourth Monday of the month in the Uniting Church Hall in Rowan St, Wangaratta commencing at 5:30pm |

### Other Council Committees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee</th>
<th>Objectives</th>
<th>Councillor as the community representative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Emergency Management Planning Committee</td>
<td>Develop, review and maintain the Municipal Emergency Management Plan</td>
<td>Meetings are held in the Council Chambers, Wangaratta Government twice per year - April and November at 1pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committee or Body</td>
<td>Objectives</td>
<td>Councillor Representation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. A multi-agency committee who undertakes fire risk assessment and identifies appropriate risk mitigation where possible.                         | One Councillor as the community representative  
Meets twice per year; once prior to the fire season; and once after the fire season. Exact timing is driven by the length and severity of the fire season each year and the location varies to accommodate commitments by Committee members |
| Other Committees and Bodies                         |                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                                                                                             |
| Hume Region Local Government Network                 | Provide advocacy, lobbying, networking, information sharing and collaborative pursuit of opportunities arising from the Hume Strategy                                                                                           | Mayor with CEO                                                                                                                             |
| Municipal Association of Victoria                   | 1. Legislated peak body for Victoria’s 79 councils.  
2. Advocate local government interests  
3. Build the capacity of councils  
4. Facilitate effective networks  
5. Initiate policy development and advice  
6. Support councillors  
7. Promote the role of local government | MAV Rural North East Region Regional Forum - Mayor with CEO                                                                                   |
| Regional Cities Victoria                             | An alliance of Regional Cities dedicated to achieving real change in regional Victoria through policy development and active implementation of those policies.                                                      | One Councillor with CEO  
Meets bi-monthly and is chaired by a host council which is voted to the position annually.                                               |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee or Body</th>
<th>Objectives</th>
<th>Councillor Representation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Wangaratta Festival of Jazz Incorporated | 1. Organise, promote, manage and conduct festivals of Jazz and Blues music and other entertainments in Wangaratta annually or at such intervals as may be determined by the board;  
2. Encourage and employ persons, firms or companies to present and produce such performances and events in Wangaratta and in other cities and territories of Australia as may tend to promote and encourage the arts and music community in Australia;  
3. Promote, maintain, improve and advance education by the encouragement of the Arts;  
4. Contribute to economic development, tourism, environmental, educational and leisure opportunities in the region;  
5. Leverage the Festival’s artistic and economic activity to grow the community’s social capital and offset social disadvantage; | The Board may appoint up to two Directors; as nominated by the Rural City of Wangaratta; a Councillor and/or a nominated council representative. These nominations are subject to the continuance of funding by the Rural City of Wangaratta. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applicant</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Event Date</th>
<th>No. Years Event Held</th>
<th>No. of Years Event funded by RCOW – based on records from 05/06 until now.</th>
<th>Amount Requested</th>
<th>Amount Suggested</th>
<th>Intended use of funding</th>
<th>Duration Day/s</th>
<th>Est. Participant Numbers</th>
<th>Economic Impact (direct)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Early Holden Car Club Wangaratta</td>
<td>ALL GM Show and Shine</td>
<td>12 March 2017</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>Marketing and promotional material</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>200 participants and 1500 spectators</td>
<td>$284,000</td>
<td>Proven event growth with experienced organisers. Ties in with the Labour Day weekend. Excellent spectator numbers and strong probability good numbers of visitors will stay for the weekend. Participants come from all over Australia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edi Upper Primary School</td>
<td>King Valley Minikhana</td>
<td>8-9 October 2017</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>nil</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td>NIL</td>
<td>Facilitator; advertising</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50 participants (40 spectators)</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Relatively new event with very low economic impact. Minimal growth on last year and has limited appeal for spectators, evidenced by the larger number of participants than spectators expected. The majority of people attending are locals.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL**

**TOTAL REQUESTED** $5,000

**TOTAL SUGGESTED** $2,000
Item 16.3 Attachment 1
## ATTACHMENT 3:

### Amendment C66 - Submission Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Submission # and Subject</th>
<th>Key Matters Raised</th>
<th>Specific changes sought</th>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>Recommended Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1: No objection | For information | 1. No requirements under Native Titles Act 1993. | N/A | Note submission  
No further action required |
| 2: No objection | Groundwater  
No objection to Planning Scheme Amendment C66. | 1. Area of interest is in groundwater quality, use and disposal.  
2. Notes Glenrowan has recently been sewered and a land capability assessment completed for land in Precinct (a).  
3. Fifteen Mile Creek is a 'Declared Catchment' and extends through some areas in Glenrowan. | N/A | Note submission  
No further action required |
| 3 Support: Subject to requested changes | Township Development Plan (TDP): Mapping Anomalies/Errors/Inconsistencies | 1. Inconsistent BMO shown in TDP maps.  
2. Inconsistent labelling of key symbols (i.e. shown as other symbols on map). | 1, 2 and 3. Rectify mapping errors | Mapping changes required.  
(See Attachment 3 for specific changes) |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Submission # and</th>
<th>Submission Subject</th>
<th>Key Matters Raised</th>
<th>Specific changes sought</th>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>Recommended Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4: Support</td>
<td>Supporting Planning Scheme Amendment C66.</td>
<td>1. Amendment reviewed and supports C66.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Note submission No further action required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5: No objection</td>
<td>No objection to Planning Scheme Amendment C66.</td>
<td>1. Amendment reviewed and no concerns identified.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Note submission No further action required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 6: Objecting submission | Site specific - Land in Precinct H (a) of the Glenrowan TDP. Planning tool used to implement the Glenrowan TDP Potential Lot Yields Bushfire Public Land Management | 1. The proposed zones are inconsistent with the TDP. 2. Limiting lot yield to 10 lots is contrary to the TDP. 3. TDP is creating an estate, 10 lots is not considered an estate. 4. 8 Ha lots are too small to be used as hobby farms. | 1. All land in Precinct H (a) be rezoned from Farming Zone (FZ) and Rural Living Zone 2 (RL22) to Rural Living Zone 1 (RLZ1) 1, 2 and 6. The information in the Glenrowan Township Development Plan, recommendations for Precinct H (a) and implementation actions should be read together not in isolation. The planning tools implementing the recommendations of the TDP were considered more streamlined, i.e. using the schedules to the zone was | It is considered the changes proposed address matters raised in this submission. | Note Submission Split amendment and review controls affecting this site. (See Attachment 3 for specific changes) It is considered the changes proposed address matters raised in this submission.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Submission Number</th>
<th>Submission Subject</th>
<th>Key Matters Raised</th>
<th>Specific changes sought</th>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>Recommended Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residential Growth Projections in Glenrowan</td>
<td>5. a) 8 ha lots are too large to protect township from bushfire attack.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>considered less of an administrative burden than an overlay and zone control. This approach is supported by DELWP. The land owner was aware of the intention to have larger lots as indicated in the land capability assessment provided to Council prior to the exhibition of the amendment. Given that the exhibition process has resulted in submissions that have identified site constraints upfront, the DPO provides a mechanism that can control development outcomes and can quantify the design outcome envisaged for Precinct Ha of the Glenrowan TDP.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b) If all land in precinct H (a) was rezoned to RLZ1 it would reduce bushfire risk.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6. The RLZ2 is not proposed by the Glenrowan TDP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7. TDP encourages small lots fronting the Old Hume Highway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8. North East Water does not require all the land they own for the protection of their assets, therefore the extent of the PUZ should be reduced.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submission # and</td>
<td>Submission Subject</td>
<td>Key Markers Raised</td>
<td>Specific changes sought</td>
<td>Analysis</td>
<td>Recommended Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9. The township lacks land to meet growth projections.</td>
<td>A change to the Schedule of the RLZ and the application of the DPO to the site is considered to achieve an appropriate outcome as intended by the Glenrowan TDP.</td>
<td>3. Creating an estate is not the purpose of the Glenrowan TPD or Amendment C66. 4. Changing minimum lot sizes in the Schedules to the RLZ is not the intent of, and is beyond the scope of Amendment C66. 5. An integrated approach to bushfire management is taken. A single stand-alone mitigation approach to bushfire would not be the determining if development should proceed. 6. See 1 above</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submission Band</td>
<td>Submission Subject</td>
<td>Key Matters Raised</td>
<td>Specific changes sought</td>
<td>Analysis</td>
<td>Recommended Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7. Correct, however the lot density is a consideration. A broad section lots less than 2 ha creates a LDRZ environment, which is not the intent of the Glenrowan TDP.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8. North East Water has provided preliminary advice about potential land requirements to protect their assets. It's good practice to have land owned by authorities in a PUZ (which should remain until Council receives formal notice that the land is not required by NE Water.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9. Glenrowan has land supply for approx. 15 year land supply with infill opportunities. (This however doesn't provide housing stock diversity).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Submission Number</th>
<th>Submission Subject</th>
<th>Key Matters Raised</th>
<th>Specific changes sought</th>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>Recommended Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 7: No position on the amendment provided | Township Development Plan (TDP): Policy References TDP Map changes Requested changes to amendment documents. Other comments (Purchasing property). | 1. TDP should have stronger reference to heritage significance, including national heritage listing. 2. Precinct C - Core Heritage Precinct should be consistent with the boundary of the Glenrowan Heritage Precinct (Siege Site). 3. Strong development controls should be sought for land central to the events of the Siege Site. 4. Council is encouraged to purchase property (as it becomes available for sale) | 1. a) TDP should identify the status of the Glenrowan Siege Site (in the context of state and national heritage). b) TPD should list the statutory permit requirements, specified by the state and national legislation. 2. The boundary of Precinct C - Core Heritage be updated to follow the boundary of state/national listed area. 3. Inclusion of additional development controls | 1. The Glenrowan TDP can be updated to include recognition of state and national heritage place (The Glenrowan Siege Site) 2. This is an administrative mapping error and should be fixed as requested. 3. Additional recommendations are contained in further work and can be updated as requested. | Amendments to the Glenrowan TDP required Mapping changes required. (See Attachment 3 for specific changes) 
*It is considered the changes proposed address matters raised in this submission.* |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Submission # and Subject</th>
<th>Key Matters Raised</th>
<th>Specific changes sought</th>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>Recommended Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 8: No objection Planning Scheme Amendment C66.  
Comments about native vegetation. | 1. Amendment reviewed and no concerns identified. | 3 and 4. Funding for this work could be sought through an application to the "Protecting National Heritage Sites Grants" program. | N/A | Note submission  
No further action required |
| 9: No objection Planning Scheme Amendment C66.  
Comments about native vegetation. | 1. Supports the overall objectives of the amendment.  
2. Identifies significant native vegetation as a key consideration for future development  
Provides advice relating to avoiding vegetation removal in any proposed future site and lot design and development. | 1. and 2. No specific changes to amendment documents sought, however this submission identifies development considerations 'up front'. | 1. and 2. Development consideration relating to the retention of significant vegetation have been identified 'up front.'  
Identifying these considerations can assist in informing a future detailed design (for example, a lot design and layout).  
Specifically, the critical considerations relate to avoiding the need to remove trees and vegetation through sensitive informed site design. | Note submission  
Prepare and re-exhibit a Development Plan Overlay to identify vegetation considerations.  
It is considered the changes proposed address matters raised in this submission. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Submission Band</th>
<th>Submission Subject</th>
<th>Key Matters Raised</th>
<th>Specific changes sought</th>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>Recommended Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>These considerations can be flagged in the Wangaratta Planning Scheme in a formal way by including the in a Development Plan Overlay (DPO). This allows the Responsible Authority to ensure that all relevant development considerations have been thought about by a developer, prior to development occurring and used to informed detailed design, and can be assessed in the planning permit application stage. Its noted that a DPO has not been prepared and exhibited as part of C66; Including a DPO provides an opportunity to pro-actively identify site considerations for development upfront,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submission</td>
<td>Submission Subject</td>
<td>Key Matters Raised</td>
<td>Specific changes sought</td>
<td>Analysis</td>
<td>Recommended Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>leading to a better planning outcome.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>If a DPO is included in C66, the amendment will need to be split and the area the DPO applies to will need to be re-exhibited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10: General Comments</td>
<td>National heritage significance of the Glenrowan Heritage Precinct.</td>
<td>Comments in relation to the EPBC Act*</td>
<td>1. No part of the amendment requires consideration under the EPBC Act*</td>
<td>1. Noted</td>
<td>Note submission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No position on the amendment provided</td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Precinct C - Core Heritage Precinct should be consistent with the boundary of the Glenrowan Heritage Precinct (Siege Site).</td>
<td>2. The boundary of Precinct C - Core Heritage be updated to follow the boundary of state/national listed area.</td>
<td>2. This is an administrative mapping error and should be fixed as requested.</td>
<td>Amendments to the Glenrowan TDP required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3. The regulations of the Glenrowan Heritage Precinct (Siege Site).</td>
<td>3. Request that the National Heritage Listing and the EPBC Act permit approval requirements to be included in the Local Planning Policy Framework.</td>
<td>3. The Role of the Local Planning Policy Framework is to guide decision making in relation to a specific discretion in a zone or overlay. The request to include the National Heritage Listing and EPBC Act* requirements cannot</td>
<td>Mapping changes required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3. Supports the policy upgrades as a way of further supporting heritage in the area.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(See Attachment 3 for specific changes)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is considered the changes proposed address matters raised in this submission.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item 16.3 Attachment 3</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Submission Number</strong></td>
<td><strong>Submission Subject</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11: No objection</td>
<td>Supports Planning Scheme Amendment C66 Bushfire Risk TDP: Policy Updates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Suggested changes to improve amendment</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Bushfire hazards are appropriately identified</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Bushfire mitigation measures are appropriately implemented into the Wangaratta Planning Scheme.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. CFA doesn’t recommend the use of the Glenrowan TDP as a stand-alone document for development in Glenrowan (updates to background documents required).</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis</td>
<td>Recommended Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>be facilitated in the Local Planning Policy Framework, however the Glenrowan TDP can be amended to include this information. C66 proposes that the Glenrowan TDP be a Reference Document in the Wangaratta Planning Scheme</td>
<td>Note submission Amendments to the Glenrowan TDP required (See Attachment 3 for specific changes) It is considered the changes proposed address matters raised in this submission.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submission # and Subject</td>
<td>Key Matters Raised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12: General Comments and conditions</td>
<td>Comments about significant high pressure gas pipeline infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submission Brand</td>
<td>Submission Subject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submission # and Subject</td>
<td>Key Matters Raised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13: No objection</td>
<td>No objection to Amendment C66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14: No position on the amendment provided</td>
<td>Authority not wishing to make a submission to C66 (no position provided)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 15: No position on the amendment provided | Heritage Advice | Impacts of the amendment on the Victorian Heritage Register and National Heritage List (The Siege Site) | 1. The boundary of Precinct C - Core Heritage be updated to follow the boundary of state/national listed area. 2. Inclusion of additional development controls 3. Review the Glenrowan Masterplan and implement relevant recommendations | 1. This is an administrative mapping error and should be fixed as requested. 2. Additional controls are recommended as "Further Work" in Clause 22.03 3. A review of the Masterplan is beyond the scope of this amendment, however should be considered and planned and budgeted for in the relevant Council Plans/Work Plans. | Mapping change required. (See Attachment 3 for specific changes)  
It is considered the change proposed address matters raised in this submission. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Submission # and Subject</th>
<th>Key Matters Raised</th>
<th>Specific changes sought</th>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>Recommended Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>16: Part Support, Part Object</strong></td>
<td>Land Tenure for Transport requirements</td>
<td>PUZ4 doesn’t match land owned/managed by Vic Track</td>
<td>1. Request corrections to zone maps to show land in Vic Track ownership as PUZ4 (minor mapping correction).</td>
<td>Change required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zone Map anomalies</td>
<td>Raising community expectation about open space and the Ned Kelly Interpretive Centre</td>
<td>2. Make changes to the Glenrowan TDP to reflect the ownership of the reserve surrounding the Glenrowan Railway Station.</td>
<td>Make minor changes to exhibited documents to reflect land owned by authorities in the railway corridor to PUZ4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ned Kelly Interpretive Centre</td>
<td>Objecting to the application of the BMO</td>
<td>3. Make changes to the Glenrowan TDP and the Access Movement and Open Space Plan.</td>
<td>Amendments to the Glenrowan TDP required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BMO Controls</td>
<td></td>
<td>4. Request the removal of the BMO from Vic Track land</td>
<td>(See Attachment 3 for specific changes)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding for this work could be sought through an application to the "Protecting National Heritage Sites Grants" program.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Submission</th>
<th>Submission</th>
<th>Key Matters Raised</th>
<th>Specific changes sought</th>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>Recommended Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3. The future land requirements are beyond the scope of the amendment, however changes can be made to the Glenrowan TDP to clarify the Ned Kelly Interpretive Centre is only a proposal and the location is subject of a separate process that will resolve land ownership matters prior to the commencement of any works.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. The application of the BMO will not prevent Vic Track from routine maintenance of their assets. The BMO does not impose any additional planning requirements on the operation of this authority.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negotiations with the submitter have resulted in the withdrawal of the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submission Number</td>
<td>Submission Subject</td>
<td>Key Matters Raised</td>
<td>Specific changes sought</td>
<td>Analysis</td>
<td>Recommended Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>objecting part of this submission.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Glenrowan Heritage Precinct (Siege Site)\(^*\) refers to the Victorian Heritage Register Place - VHR2000 and the National Heritage List - Glenrowan Heritage Precinct

EPBC Act\(^*\) refers to the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act, 1999

Further minor changes to improve the Glenrowan TDP and Amendment C66 have been identified by Officers. These changes are identified in Attachment 3.
### ATTACHMENT 4:

C66 Submission Summary - Document Changes

1. Glenrowan Township Development Plan - Map Changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change #</th>
<th>Plan</th>
<th>Recommended Changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| #1       | Site Analysis Plan  
           Figure 1, Page 7  
           Design Response Plan  
           Figure 3, Page 13 | **Recommended Change:**  
Changes are recommended to the 'study area' on the Design Response Plan. Change to match the boundary of Figure 1 Site Analysis Plan, so the Glenrowan TDP is showing a consistent study area on all plans. |
| #2       | Site Analysis Plan  
           Figure 1, Page 7  
           Design Response Plan  
           Figure 3, Page 13 | **Recommended Change:**  
Correct the location of 'Mount Glenrowan':  
- Remove from 43 and 69 Glengarry Lane  
- Relocate to PCRZ land in the Warby-Ovens National Park to the north  
This change ensures the accurate location of Mount Glenrowan. |
| #3       | Design Response Plan  
           Figure 3, Page 13 | **Recommended Change:**  
Update the key to show the symbol for 'view lines'  
'View lines' missing from the key.  
Update the plan to show proposed walking track, starting directly south of the North East Water asset, intersecting 184 Old Hume Highway, 41 and 43 Glengarry Land as a view line. (This change is consistent with Figure 4 Glenrowan TDP). |
| #4       | Site Analysis Plan  
           Figure 1, Page 7 | **Recommended Change:**  
Update the boundary alignment of Precinct C - Core Heritage Precinct to: |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change #</th>
<th>Plan</th>
<th>Recommended Changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Precincts</td>
<td>- Include 50 Gladstone Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Figure 2, Page 9</td>
<td>- Remove 54 Gladstone Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Design Response Plan</td>
<td>This change ensures consistency with the boundary of the Glenrowan Heritage Precinct (Siege Site), as shown on the Victorian Heritage Register and National Heritage List.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#5</td>
<td>Site Analysis Plan</td>
<td>Ensure fire risk is represented consistently on these plans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Figure 1, Page 7</td>
<td>Note: the keys on the different figures represent different risks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Precincts</td>
<td>Site Analysis Plan: identified BMO/WMO boundary and High Fire Risk (this should be better explained, eg. high fire risk in accordance with BPA maps)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Figure 2, Page 9</td>
<td>Precincts: No reference to fire risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Design Response Plan</td>
<td>Design Response Plan: LDRZ and high bushfire risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Figure 3, Page 13</td>
<td><strong>Recommended Change:</strong> Given that the maps are showing different information, no changes are recommended.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#6</td>
<td>Site Analysis Plan</td>
<td>Ensure Transport Land is correctly identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Figure 1, Page 7</td>
<td><strong>Recommended Change:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Design Response Plan</td>
<td>- Update Figures 1 and 3 to identify railway reserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Figure 3, Page 13</td>
<td>- Use a different symbol to represent proposed Ned Kelly Interpretive Centre</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 2. Glenrowan Township Development Plan and Background - Content Changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Section/Page</th>
<th>Recommended Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| #7     | Glenrowan, Oxley and Milawa Township Development Plans Background Report, Page 16 Glenrowan TDP, Page 12 | This change identifies the requirements for development that sit outside the Wangaratta Planning Scheme, and specifically identifies that the Glenrowan Siege Site is on the Victorian Heritage Register and the National Heritage List.  

**Recommended change:**  
The Glenrowan Siege Site:  
The Glenrowan Siege Site is recognised on the Victorian Heritage Register and the National Heritage List. These listings identify this place as containing outstanding heritage significance at both a State and national level. As such there are specific permit requirements triggered by the Heritage Act 1995 (state level regulations) and the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (national regulations). These requirements are in addition to any policy specified in the Glenrowan Township Development Plan and Wangaratta Planning Scheme. |
| #8     | Glenrowan, Oxley and Milawa Township Development Plans Background Report and Glenrowan TDP | Make minor wording changes to update terminology in line with current CFA/fire risk terminology as outlined;  

**Recommended Change:**  
Minor changes to Background Report - Pages 23, 30, 32, 33  
Glenrowan TDP - Pages 8, 10, 12 |
| #9     | Glenrowan TDP | Provide additional direction about the requirements of land ownership relating to the Ned Kelly Interpretation Centre.  

**Recommended Change:**  
In the Recommendations outlined for Precinct C, add a sentence about resolving transport requirements and land ownership. |
**Proposed C66 Part 1 - Amendment Documents: Changes to Exhibited Documents**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Planning Scheme Ordinance/Plan</th>
<th>Recommended Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#10</td>
<td>Clause 22.03 Figure 1</td>
<td>Update the boundary of Precinct C - Core Heritage Precinct to:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Include 50 Gladstone Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Remove 54 Gladstone Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>This change ensures consistency with the revised boundary of the Glenrowan Township Development Plan - Precinct C Core Heritage Precinct, and the Glenrowan Heritage Precinct (Siege Site), as shown in the Victorian Heritage Register and National Heritage List.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#11</td>
<td>Clause 22.03 Further Work</td>
<td>Add the following information (highlighted):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The views of Council's Heritage Advisor, Heritage Victoria, the Department of Environment and Energy, or the National Trust of Australia (Victoria) as relevant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In Precinct C Core Heritage Precinct – complete a heritage wide analysis to:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>o Develop exemption criteria for simple applications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>o Create urban design guidelines and or landscape guidelines as appropriate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>o Prepare development controls to guide appropriate built form in the Core Heritage Precinct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#12</td>
<td>Clause 22.09 Figure 1</td>
<td>Correct the location of 'Mount Glenrowan':</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Change the reference at 43 and 69 Glengarry Lane to 'To Mt. Glenrowan'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Relocate to PCRZ land in the Warby-Ovens National Park to the north</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change</td>
<td>Planning Scheme Ordinance/Plan</td>
<td>Recommended Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#13</td>
<td>Clause 22.09 Figure 1</td>
<td>Recommended Change:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Changes to Figure 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Update the plan to show proposed walking track, starting directly south of the North East Water asset, intersecting 184 Old Hume Highway, 41 and 43 Glengarry Land as a view line (this is consistent with Figure 4 Glenrowan TDP).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Relocate proposed trails to realign on the inside boundary of 184 Old Hume Highway.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#14</td>
<td>Clause 22.09 Figure 1</td>
<td>Ensure Transport Land is correctly identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Recommended Change:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Update Figure 1 to identify railway reserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Use a different symbol to represent proposed Ned Kelly Interpretive Centre</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Proposed C66 Part 2 - Major Changes (to be re-exhibited)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Planning Scheme Ordinance/Plan</th>
<th>Recommended Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| #15    | Planning Map 32_33             | **Recommended Change:**  
|        |                                | Rezone all private land contained in precinct H (a) to Rural Living Zone Schedule 1. This affects Wangaratta Planning Scheme Maps 32 and 33. |
| #16    | New Planning Overlay Map       | **Recommended Change:**  
|        |                                | Apply the Development Plan Overlay to precinct H (a) and (b). This proposed change affects Wangaratta Planning Scheme Overlay Maps 32 and 33. |

#### Officer changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Planning Scheme Ordinance/Plan</th>
<th>Recommended Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| #17    | Glenrowan, Oxley and Millawa Township Development Plans Background Report | **Recommended Change:**  
|        |                                | Page 30 - amend minimum lot size in Rural Living Zone Schedule 2 to state 8 ha. |
| #18    | Glenrowan TDP Page 12 Clause 21.07 Figure 1 | **Recommended Change:**  
|        |                                | - Amend boundary of Glenrowan TDP to follow the boundary of 184 and 246 Old Hume Highway (to the north) and 184 Old Hume Highway (to the east). This will ensure that land in one parcel doesn’t end up in different zones.  
|        |                                | - Add Figure number and page number to the Glenrowan TDP map within the Glenrowan TDP report. |
| #19    | Zone Map 33                    | **Recommended Change:**  
|        |                                | Extend the PU24 to align with the railway boundary (to fix an administrative mapping error). |

*Advice sought from the DELWP Hume Regional Office has indicated the proposed change from exhibited RL22 to RUL1 with application of a development Plan Overlay constitutes a significant change to the amendment, therefore full re-exhibition of this component is required.*
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SCHEDULE 7 TO THE DEVELOPMENT PLAN OVERLAY

Shown on the planning scheme map as DPO7.

GLENROWAN TOWNSHIP - Rural Living Area

This schedule affects land identified as Precinct H (a) and (b) of the Glenrowan Township Development Plan, September 2015.

1.0 Requirement before a permit is granted

Before any use, development or subdivision commences, a development plan must be prepared to the satisfaction of the Responsible Authority.

A permit may be granted before a development plan has been prepared to the satisfaction of the Responsible Authority for the purpose of:

- Buildings and works associated with the use of the land for agriculture;
- A single dwelling on a lot, provided the lot meets the minimum lot size;
- Subdivision of land, provided this does not increase the number of lots.

2.0 Requirements for development plan

The development plan must be completed to the satisfaction of the Responsible Authority.

The development plan provided requires an overall plan of development showing:

- Roads (including an internal road layout that build on the existing road network where practical);
- Walking/Cycling/Off Road Movement Networks and Public Open Space. The development plan must demonstrate consideration of public open space requirements and provision must be generally in accordance with the Glenrowan Township Development Plan, September 2015;
- Subdivision layout including lot sizes providing for a range of rural living lifestyle opportunities, with smaller lots fronting the Old Hume Highway, progressively transitioning to larger lots, adjacent to the northern boundary (Mt. Glenrowan foothills);
- No built up lots fronting the Old Hume Highway;
- Location and arrangements for the provision and funding of all physical infrastructure and services to the land; including
  - Drainage retention areas, and stormwater management methods including the location of any on-site drainage facilities;
  - Location of areas affected by surface spring/aquifer activity;
- Connectivity to the reticulated sewerage system or alternatively provide a land capability assessment report prepared by a suitably qualified professional to confirm land capability for future development and demonstrate a capacity of dwellings to retain wastewater on site.
- A buffer around the high pressure gas pipeline easement identifying the 'measurement length' (the area that clearly defines the region that would be affected by the worst case scenario pipeline failure). This buffer identifies the area within which development should be carefully designed from the safety perspective and exclude sensitive use location classes. (As defined by the AS2885 Australian Pipelines - Gas and Liquid Petroleum.)
WANGARATTA PLANNING SCHEME

- Building envelopes, boundary fences and subdivision infrastructure for each proposed allotment to demonstrate retention of existing native vegetation.

The development plan must demonstrate consideration of and contain a response to the requirements of:

- **APA (Authority for High Pressure Transmission Gas Pipelines)**

  By providing a Safety Management Study in accordance with Australian Standard AS2885 as required.

  Building envelopes showing construction and uses of sensitive uses outside the 'measurement length' must be shown on the approved development plan.

- **Department of Environment Land Water and Planning (Authority for Native Vegetation)**

  The location of walking paths, open space reserves, crossovers and electricity lines. The development plan must have regard to native vegetation on roadsides and drainage lines. Creation of these assets should not lead to the removal of native vegetation on roadsides.

- **North East Water (Authority for water and sewerage)**

  Any development must not encroach on land being used to protect water assets.

- **All other relevant authorities**

  The development plan must demonstrate consideration of and response to the requirements of any other relevant authorities to the satisfaction of the Responsible Authority.

  The response must be contained in a report addressing the requirements of the development plan and illustrated on the final development plan.

  The development plan must demonstrate:

  - That it is generally accordance with the Glenrowan Township Development Plan, 2015;
  - Consideration of native vegetation and significant vegetation.
  - An environmental assessment of the land. The assessment must address protection of the existing bushland, natural features and heritage character as a design principle, by sensitively aligning roads and access to the Old Hume Highway to minimise vegetation removal and to provide view lines to surrounding foothills, bushland and natural features.
  - Consideration of the landscape character, that is reflective of the existing Glenrowan bushland character.
  - Consideration and provision of infrastructure; and an appropriate design response addressing any opportunities or constraints. This includes demonstrating consultation with the relevant authorities.
  - Land capability for onsite wastewater retention or connection to reticulated sewerage infrastructure.
  - Consideration of Cultural Heritage and include a Cultural Heritage Management Plan indicating sites of conservation, heritage or archaeological significance and the means by which they will be managed.

The development plan may be amended to the satisfaction of the Responsible Authority.

**3.0 Decision guidelines:**

- [OSI Part 2]
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Before approving the development plan, the Responsible Authority must consider the following and include further conditions relating to:

- Environmental, ecological, landscape, archaeological, cultural heritage and historic values and features of the site.
- How the development plan responds to the constraints of the site.
- Any requirements of referral authorities required to properly service the proposed use and development of the land.

4.0 Requirements for a planning application

An application for a permit must include:

- A site analysis plan and design response demonstrating how the land responds to the opportunities and constraints of the land.
- Where sewerage infrastructure by a reticulated service cannot be provided soil and water reports must be submitted demonstrating compliance with State and local policies on effluent disposal.
- A Town Planning report outlining how the new use, development, subdivision or works respond to:
  - All relevant considerations of the Wangaratta Planning Scheme
  - Glenrowan Township Development Plan, September 2015.

5.0 Conditions and requirements for permits

- A Section 173 agreement pursuant to the Planning and Environment Act 1987 entered into between the Responsible Authority and the owner of the site to prevent re-subdivision of any lots created by the development plan.
- All effluent disposal areas must be fenced to ensure the disposal areas are not compromised by trenching, livestock or storage of machinery and materials within their management plan.
- Native vegetation offsets within the Bushfire Management Overlay must be located at least 150 meters from any dwelling, and not within the defendable space of any property.
- Native vegetation offsets located outside the Bushfire Management Overlay must be must be located 50 meters from any dwelling and not within the defendable space of any dwelling.
- All native vegetation offsets must be set back at least 4 metres from boundary fences.

DEVELOPMENT PLAN OVERLAY - SCHEDULE 7
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# AGENDA

## Ordinary Council Meeting

22 November 2016

**ARTS, CULTURE AND HERITAGE ADVISORY COMMITTEE**  
**Monday 12 September 2016**  
5.30pm at Wangaratta Performing Arts Centre, Conference Room

**MINUTES**

File No: 67.010.006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1.   | **PRESENT**  
Tina Thompson, David Godkin, Rosie Koop, Emma Jones, Mary Daly, Margaret Brickhill, Darby Fullerton, Elisha Barry, Justine Ambrosio, Simone Nolan, Jaime Carroll |
| 2.   | **APOLOGIES**  
Administrator Irene Grant, Penny Hargrave, Tanya McAlpin, Celine Mulr, Ian Poole, Loueen Twyford, Rhonda Diffe |
|      | Moved: Emma Jones  
Seconded: Mary Daly  
*That apologies be accepted* |
| 3.   | **CONFLICT OF INTEREST DECLARATIONS** |
| 4.   | **MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING**  
*Motion:  
That the minutes of the meeting held on 20 June 2016 be circulated via email for approval.* |
| 5.   | **BUSINESS ARISING (from the previous minutes)** |
| 6.   | **AGENDA ITEMS** |
| 6.1  | **Task Force and subcommittee reports (section 4.1 of ACHAC Charter ~ Functions of the Committee)**  
6.1.1  
*Shade Sculpture Ovens Riverside Square - Presentation Jaime Carroll - Director Community Wellbeing*  
The Director Community Wellbeing gave an overview of the Shade Sculpture Project and the CBD Masterplan. The plan sets the bar for infrastructure development moving forward, looks incredible and contributes to the amenity of the CBD.  
The design builds on the success of the Bullawah Cultural Trail project and reflects the Local Indigenous community and will make the space more usable.  
The design is at concept stage and will be emailed to ACHAC for review. Jaime will meet with ACHAC on site at 5:30pm on 20/09/16 for further feedback and discussion. The design will also be |
reviewed by the community. The use of lighting, lighting installation and projections was raised at the last meeting and this feedback has been taken on board.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item 18.1</th>
<th>Attachment 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### 6.2 Projects and Programs (section 4.2 of ACHAC Charter ~ Functions of the Committee)

6.2.1 Update Organisational Structure- Elisha Barry- Acting Manager Arts, Culture and Events
The Acting Arts, Culture and Events Manager gave an overview of the organisational structure.

6.2.2 Introduction- Simone Nolan- Gallery Director, Wangaratta Art Gallery
The Gallery Director gave an overview of her 15 years’ experience in Galleries & Museums, including her role as Curator at Arts Space Wodonga and Bonegilla Migrant Experience.

### 6.3 Advocacy (section 4.4 of ACHAC Charter ~ Functions of the Committee)

6.3.1 Wangaratta Festival of Jazz Community Engagement Program – Elisha Barry- Acting Manager Arts, Culture and Events and David Godkin
David Godkin and Elisha Barry gave an overview of the community engagement programs accessible for the Wangaratta Festival of Jazz, including Paint Presto developed by Janet Leith, the Art Map Project and the I’m in the Band Project engaging students to create self-portraits as Jazz musicians.

6.3.2 Wangaratta Performing Arts Centre Season Launch- Elisha Barry- Acting Manager Arts, Culture and Events
The Wangaratta Performing Arts Centre Season Launch will take place on December 5 at 6:00pm. Some highlights of the program are Yours Truly, Dracula and Witches by Roald Dahl.

6.3.3 Bullawah Cultural Trail Launch, Marketing and Publicity- Justine Ambrosio- Cultural Development Officer
The Cultural Development Officer gave an overview of the successful Launch of the Bullawah Cultural Trail project on the 26th of August coinciding with the Down by the River event & thanked ACHAC for their support throughout the process. The marketing and publicity material is currently in development with the draft brochures distributed to ACHAC for comment. This information will also be available on [www.culturewangaratta.com](http://www.culturewangaratta.com)

6.3.4 Seniors Festival Program- Justine Ambrosio- Acting Events Team Leader
The Acting Events Team Leader distributed the Seniors Festival Program and highlighted key events available throughout the municipality to be promoted amongst ACHAC’s networks.

### 6.4 Opportunities (sections 4.5, 4.6, 4.7 of ACHAC Charter ~ Functions of the Committee)

6.4.1 Roving Curator Program 2017 – Simone Nolan- Gallery Director, Wangaratta Art Gallery,
### Item 18.1

#### Attachment 1

The Gallery Director gave an overview of the Roving Curator Program and the successful project developed in 2014 with Wodonga Historical Society. A professional Curator worked with the Historical Society to assess the collection and develop an exhibition and support skills development of the Historical Society.

**6.4.2 Audience Engagement Program Opportunity - Elisha Barry - Acting Manager Arts, Culture and Events**

The Acting Manager Arts, Culture and Events gave an overview of the Audience Engagement Program opportunity which could be an opportunity to collaborate with Shepparton Performing Arts Centre. The target group is the 16-35 year old age group and making them comfortable in their own environments and then inviting them back. The Hothouse Theatre doing a great job of this.

Strategic Plan ideas from Rosie & Emma have been discussing this and talked about the themes of what we are now and what we want to be in 2020. Consultation to take place between Rosie, Emma and Penny Hargrave to determine a direction for the Strategic Plan.

The committee felt that it was important to engage people who are active and brainstorm with the community to progress the plan.

### 7. CORRESPONDENCE

David Godkin provided correspondence relating to The Mount Beauty Play Space Project with designs developed by artist Ben Gilbert.

### 8. REPORT:

#### 8.1 Bi Monthly Report for Arts, Culture, Heritage and Events services.

| Motion: | That the quarterly report for Arts, Culture and Events Business Units are accepted as presented. |
| Moved: | Tina Thompson |
| Seconded: | David Godkin |
| Carried | |

### 9. GENERAL BUSINESS

<p>| Motion: | That ACHAC express their appreciation and thanks to the Administrators for their interest &amp; contribution to arts, culture and heritage throughout the municipality over their term of tenure. |
| Moved: | Margaret Brickhill |
| Seconded: | Rosie Coop |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>NEXT MEETING: Monday 12 December 2016, venue to be advised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>MEETING CLOSED: 7:11PM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ASSEMBLY OF ADMINISTRATORS
Date: 18 October 2016
Meeting: Pre Council Meeting
Commenced: 5.00 pm

Present:

Administrators: Ailsa Fox, Chair
Rodney Roscholler
Irene Grant

Officers: Brendan McGrath, CEO
Ruth Kneebone, DCS
Barry Green, DDS
Jaime Carroll, DCW
Alan Clark, DIS

Apologies: Nil

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: Nil:

Left room during discussion of item/ No discussion of item & not required to leave room

Matters Considered:

Items discussed: Pre-Council Agenda discussion

Meeting Closed:

The meeting closed at 5.30pm
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File No: 10.020.020

RURAL CITY OF WANGARATTA

ASSEMBLY OF COUNCILLORS

Date: 14 November 2016
Meeting: Councillor Briefing Forum
Commenced 12.00pm

Present:

Councillors: Ken Clarke, Harvey Benton, Mark Currie, Harry Bussell, Dave Fuller, Ruth Amery

Officers: Brendan McGrath, CEO; Alan Clark, DI; Jaime Carroll, DCW; Barry Green DDS; Ruth Kneebone DCS.

Apologies: Cr Dean Rees

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: Nil

Matters Considered:

The following items were discussed:

1. Appointment to Audit Advisory Committee
2. Parking in the CBD over the Christmas New Year period
3. Wangaratta Central Business District - CCTV
4. Tourism Victoria Attraction Program
5. Planning Scheme Amendment C66 – Glenrowan Township Development Plan V2.
6. Portfolios and Committee appointments
7. Councillor Code of Conduct review,

MEETING CLOSED: 2.35PM